	Case 3:10-cv-04231-JSC	Document 28 Filed 06/02/11 Page 1 of 2) •	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
11	SARVI MIR CODE O DI VIDIOIV			
12	TERRY MORGAN,) No: C 10-4231 JSC		
13	Plaintiff,) ORDER RE PENDING MOTIONS A	ND	
14	v.) BRIEFING SCHEDULE		
15	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al,			
16	Defendants.			
17)		
18				
19	This case was reassigned to this Magistrate Judge upon the retirement of the			
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	previously-assigned judge, Magistrate Judge James Larson. According to the docket, on			
	January 13, 2011, Defendant City and County of San Francisco filed a motion for			
	judgment on the pleadings (Dkt. No. 8), and on March 22, 2011 the individual defendants			
	filed a motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 21). Plaintiff proceeding in pro per filed a document			
	styled as a motion to proceed or settle on January 18, 2011 (Dkt. No. 10) and on May 2,			
	2011 a document titled a motion for a more definite statement (Dkt. No. 25).			
	With respect to Defendants' motions, it is not clear if Plaintiff intended the pleadings			
	he has already filed with the Court, including his motion for a more definite statement, to			
	constitute his response to Defendants' motions. Accordingly, if Plaintiff desires to file an			
	ORDER RE PENDING MOTIONS			

additional response to Defendants' motion for judgment on the pleadings and motion to dismiss, he shall do so on or before June 23, 2011. Defendants' reply, if any, shall be filed on or before June 30, 2011. The Court will take Defendants' motions under submission at that time.

Plaintiff's motion to proceed or settle is DENIED. The next step in these proceedings is for the Court to decide Defendants' pending motions. Plaintiff's motion for a more definite statement, to the extent he intended it to be a separate motion rather than (or in addition to) a response to Defendants' motions, is also DENIED, although the Court will consider the pleading in deciding Defendants' pending motions.

The Clerk shall terminate motions nos. 10 and 25.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 2, 2011

JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE